



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/668,799	09/23/2003	Scott R. Culler	58718US002	4097	
32692	7590 01/04/2005	590 01/04/2005		EXAMINER	
3M INNOV	ATIVE PROPERTIES	SHAKERI, HADI			
PO BOX 334 ST. PAUL.	427 MN 55133-3427	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
J,			3723		

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T	A 10 44 - 3			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany		10/668,799	CULLER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hadi Shakeri	3723			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
·		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.					
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 23 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	re: a) accepted or b) object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	• •	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔯 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 051004.		atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to because in Fig. 4A, reference character (406) is pointing to the side (403) and not the distal linear region or the apex.
- 2. Figures 1-3 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the incorporated US applications, noted as pending, e.g., page 13, line 13, should be presented as now abandoned. The description for prior art drawings should be amended, pages 2 and 3

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/668,799

Art Unit: 3723

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15-18, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pieper et al. (5,152,917).

Pieper et al. discloses all of the limitations of claims 1 and 17, i.e., abrasive array of protruding units, wherein a distal linear apex for each unit when projected on to a coplanar plane with its respective base, extends between non-central points on opposite first and second sides, e.g., sawtooth shapes.



Regarding claims 2, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29 and 31, Pieper et al. meets the limitations, e.g., rectangular base, linear regions being parallel with substantially the same shape and size, having a substantially constant distance to the base or coplanar, and consisting of aluminum oxide.

- 6. Claims 1, 2, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16-18, 22, 24, 26, 28 and 30 are rejected under 35
- U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rouser et al. (5,201,101).

Rouser et al. discloses all of the limitations of claims 1 and 17, i.e., abrasive array of protruding units, wherein a distal linear apex for each unit when projected on to a coplanar plane with its



Application/Control Number: 10/668,799

Art Unit: 3723

respective base, extends between non-central points on opposite first and second sides, e.g., axially bent and torsionally twisted or flexed pyramidal-shaped members.

Regarding claims 2, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16-18, 22, 24, 26, 28 and 30, Rouser et al. meets the limitations, e.g., rectangular base, linear regions not parallel with substantially different shape and size, having a varying distance to the base, and consisting of aluminum oxide.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 3, 4, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Pieper et al. and/or Rouser et al.

Both Pieper et al. and Rouser et al discloses the claimed invention except for the use of the specific size. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the specific size, in tailoring the article for a particular application, since it has been held that changing shape, dependent on work-piece parameters, involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Stevens*, 101 US PQ 284(CCPA1954).

Conclusion

9. Prior art made of record and not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Amano et al., Ohishi, Chesley et al., Seitz et al. are cited to show related inventions.

Application/Control Number: 10/668,799

Art Unit: 3723

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hadi Shakeri whose telephone number is (571) 272-4495. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hadi Shakeri

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

December 29, 2004